UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation

Jena Blea-Flores and S.F., a minor

Docket No. 22-md-3043 (DLC)

This Document Relates To:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

_	This Complaint is file	•		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
hereby	designate(s) the	e United	States	District	Court for					
the	District of Colorado		a	s Plaintiff(s)	' home venue					
("Home	Venue"), as this case n	nay have origina	ally been filed	d there because	e:					
	The APAP product(s) Plaintiff-Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff-									
	Minor were pur	chased and/or u	sed in Brighton	n	(city),					
	СО	(state).								
	00	was born in Br. (state).	ighton		(city),					
	—	art of other event		0 0	the claim occurred sed/treated in CO					
	At least Defend	lant		:	is a resident of the					
	district and all	defendants are	residents of t	he State in wl	hich that district is					
	located.									
	This case originally	was filed in	the United	States Distri	ct Court for the					

Transfer Or	der No by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
II. PLA	AINTIFF(S) INFORMATION
Plair	ntiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
information	for selected Plaintiff(s)):
✓ Plair	ntiff-Mother (name): Jena Blea-Flores
ī	State of Residence: CO
ı	State of Citizenship: CO
ı	Filing Capacity:
	As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
	✓ Individually
Plain S.F.	ntiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
ı	State of Residence: CO
ı	State of Citizenship: CO
ı	Year of Birth (yyyy): <u>2019</u>
ı	Injury:
	✓ Autism Spectrum Disorder
	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Plair	ntiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
	State of Residence:
i	State of Citizenship:

Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a Short Form Complaint Addendum.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a Short Form Complaint Addendum.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated Master
Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen - ASD-ADHD
Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on December 16, 2022:
▼ The Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson
Consumer Inc. (DE 276).

			<i>Note:</i> Plaint	iffs inc	orporatın	g this M	aster C	Complaint	must con	plete	Section
			IV.A in add	ition to	the abov	e section	ns.				
		The 1	Master Long	Form	Compla	int and	Jury	Demand	Against	the	Retailer
		Defen	dants (DE 27	7).							
		•	Note: Plaint	iffs inc	orporatin	g this M	aster C	Complaint	must com	plete	e Section
			IV.B in add		1	C		1		1	
				ition to	the abov	e section	15.				
IV.	DEFE	ENDAN	NT(S)								
	A.	Manu	facturer Defe	<u>ndant</u>							
		1.	Plaintiff(s)	allege	claims	against 1	the M	anufactur	er Defen	dant	selected
below	:										
	√ Jo	hnson	& Johnson C	onsun	ner Inc.						
		<u>Plaint</u>	iff Child #1:								
		•	Johnson & . with Plaintif			ner Inc. 1	Produc	ct(s) Moth	er took w	hile 1	pregnant
			Tyle	Dat				ylenol Re yyyyy to 1			pregnant
								to			
			✓ Tyle	Dat		Mother		Tylenol E d #1 (mm			
				Oct	t	2019		to Dec	20)19	
			Tyle	Dat <u>Rele</u>	e range	Mother ile pregr	took	ase Gels® Tylenol ith Plainti			
								to			

Other:	
•	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Plaintiff Child #2 (if r	more than one Plaintiff Child):
Johnson & Johnson with Plaintiff (nnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant Child #2:
	l Regular [®] Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
—	l Extra Strength® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Tyleno	l Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Other:	Date range Mother took Other Product_while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
2. Plaintiff(s) her	reby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the Master Long
Form Complaint and Jury Demand A	gainst Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. as if fully set forth

herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff	Plaintiff-Other	Claim/Allegation
✓	✓	Child)		Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
✓	✓			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
V	✓			Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
✓	✓			Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming)
✓	✓			Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
V	\checkmark			Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint*

and Jury	Demand.	Against J	ohnson (& Johnson	Consumer	<i>Inc</i> . Any	additional	Plaintiff(s)	-specific
allegation	ns as to th	e alleged	misrepre	esentation	must be set	forth her	e:		

- 5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* and alleges violation of the following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of Colorado Consumer Protection Act C.R.S. §§ 6-1-101 to 6-1-115
- 6. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed.):

B. Retailer Defendant(s):

1. Pla	aintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below.
By checking a box again	ast a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the
acetaminophen store bra	nds identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand
Against Retailer Defenda	ants or otherwise specify additional products below:
7-Eleven, Inc	•
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Case 1:23-cv-07073-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/10/23 Page 9 of 25

•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the <i>Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :
Big Lots, Inc	•
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

•	Plaintiff C	child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child

•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant
		with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

D	olgencorp,	LLC
•	Plaintiff C	Shild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

] D -	ollar Tree S	
•	Plaintiff C	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Family Doll	ar Stores, LLC
Plaintiff	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

The Kroger	·Co.
Plaintiff	Child #1:
-	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Plaintiff C	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
Plaintiff (Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
• Plaintiff (Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

Sa	feway, Inc	•
	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Plain	iff Child #1:
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
■ Plain	iff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
■ Plain	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
■ Plain	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

] Target Cor	poration
Plaintiff	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
,	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chike #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chike #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

W	Valgreen Co	·
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

almart Inc	•
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C

Case 1:23-cv-07073-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/10/23 Page 22 of 25

Ot	Other Retailer:		
■ Plaintiff Child #1:			
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:	
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	
		to	
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	
	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:	
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	
		to	
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long*Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff- Other	Claim/Allegation
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
				Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
				Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
				Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to: Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming)
				Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
				Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty
				Count VIII: Liability as Apparent Manufacturer

	4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element,
Plaintiff(s) ado	pt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the Master Long Form Complaint
and Jury Demo	and Against Retailer Defendants. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as
to the alleged n	nisrepresentation must be set forth here:

- 5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* and alleges violation of the following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of
- 6. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on December 16, 2022.

Dated: Aug	10	2023	Respectfully submitted,	
			/S/ Christopher R. Rodriguez	
	Attorney Name: Christopher Rodriguez			
	Attorney Firm: Singleton Schreiber, LLP			
	Attorney	Attorney Address Line 1:		
	Singleton Schreiber, LLP 1414 K Street, Suite 470 Sacramento, CA 95814			
	Attorney Address Line 2:			
	Telephor	Telephone: (916) 248-8478		
	Fax: (619	Fax: (619) 255-1515		
	Attorney	Attorney Email: crodriguez@singletonschreiber.com		
	Counsel j	Counsel for Plaintiff(s):		
	Jena Blea	Jena Blea-Flores and S.F.		